

Duke, Daphne

From: BURGESS, KENNETH CHAD <chad.burgess@scana.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 11:10 AM
To: Butler, David; bguild@mindspring.com; bguild@mindspring.com; Hudson, Shannon; Belton Zeigler; Bholman@selcsc.org; mike.couick@ecsc.org; chris.koon@ecsc.org; jtiencken@tienckenlaw.com; Frank Ellerbe; andy@austengowder.com; jim@jdavispc.com; mrose5@sc.rr.com; cmcdonald@tienckenlaw.com; Pittman, Jenny; Nelson, Jeff; wcleveland@selcva.org; wlightsey@wyche.com; cmassingill@wyche.com; mrichardson@wyche.com; Edwards, Nanette; Hudson, Shannon; Nelson, Jeff; Matthew Gissendanner; mike.couick@ecsc.org; jtiencken@tienckenlaw.com; Frank Ellerbe; bguild@mindspring.com; bguild@mindspring.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; ejones@selcsc.org; bcook@scag.gov; esmith@scag.gov; rtyson@sowellgray.com; sroberts@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; lbrandfass@spilmanlaw.com; Scott Elliott; DEX@smxblaw.com; alex@shissiaslawfirm.com; Mitch Willoughby; john@johncoffman.net; fknapp@knappagency.com; TeagueLynn@gmail.com; Dino.Teppara@gmail.com; cmcdonald@tienckenlaw.com; belton.zeigler@wbd-us.com; Richard Whitt; Richard Whitt; tfrogers@austinrogerspa.com; sberk@scjustice.org; Pittman, Jenny; wcleveland@selcva.org; wlightsey@wyche.com; cmassingill@wyche.com; mrichardson@wyche.com; Edwards, Nanette; fknapp@knappagency.com; Richard Whitt; Matthew Gissendanner; Nelson, Jeff; Hudson, Shannon; Pittman, Jenny; Mitch Willoughby; belton.zeigler@wbd-us.com; alex@shissiaslawfirm.com; bguild@mindspring.com; bguild@mindspring.com; David Black; sroberts@spilmanlaw.com; lbrandfass@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil; TeagueLynn@gmail.com; mike.couick@ecsc.org; chris.koon@ecsc.org; jtiencken@tienckenlaw.com; cmcdonald@tienckenlaw.com; Frank Ellerbe; jfwalsh@bellsouth.net; james.horwood@spiegelmc.com; peter.hopkins@spiegelmc.com; steve.pearson@spiegelmc.com; jessica.bell@spiegelmc.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; ejones@selcsc.org; eryan@mcguirewoods.com; eruff@mcguirewoods.com; jreid@mcguirewoods.com; lisa.s.booth@dominionenergy.com; wcleveland@selcva.org; sberk@scjustice.org; john@johncoffman.net; wlightsey@wyche.com; cmassingill@wyche.com; mrichardson@wyche.com; Scott Elliott; rtyson@sowellgray.com; michaelanzelmo@schouse.gov; Richard Whitt; bcook@scag.gov; esmith@scag.gov; William Hubbard; rush.smith@nelsonmullins.com; matt.bogan@nelsonmullins.com; carmen.thomas@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams
Cc: PSC_Attorneys; PSC_Technical Advisory; PSC_Clerk's Office Distribution Group
Subject: RE: Proposed Schedule for Pre-Filed Testimony and other matters in Docket Nos. 2017-207-E, 2017-305-E, and 2017-370-E

Dear David:

Without waiving any present or future legal rights, I am writing to inform the Commission and the parties that SCE&G and Dominion Energy support the logic and timing of the proposed pre-filing schedule for Docket Nos. 2017-207-E, 2017-305-E, and 2017-370-E which you provided to the parties by email on Thursday, May 24, 2018. Of particular importance to SCE&G's and Dominion Energy's support for the logic and timing of that schedule is the fact that it allows approximately five weeks for the parties to respond to the primary prefiled testimony offered in opposition to their positions in the relevant dockets. Specifically, the proposed schedule allows approximately five weeks for SCE&G and Dominion Energy to formulate and file rebuttal testimony in Docket No. 2017-370-E and approximately five weeks for SCE&G to formulate and file its direct testimony in reply to the moving parties in Docket Nos. 2017-207-E and 2017-305-E. The parties opposing SCE&G and Dominion Energy are being given similar consideration consistent with their positions in the three dockets. This amount of time provided by the proposed schedule, we believe, is necessary to allow a reasonable opportunity for meaningful response and to protect due process rights.

Thank you for your consideration of these matters.

Chad

RECEIVED

MAY 31 2018

PSC SC
MAIL / DMS